



Research Goal and Hypotheses

We investigate how finger gnosia, fine motor skills (finger agility and object manipulation), and spatial working memory capacity (WM) are associated with preschool children's finger counting and finger montring skills.

- **Hypothesis 1:** Finger gnosia, fine motor skills, and spatial WM are associated with finger counting/montring skills
- **Hypothesis 2:** Fine motor skills are more strongly associated with finger counting / montring skills than finger gnosia

Spatial working memory capacity moderates the association between fine motor skills and finger counting / finger montring in preschoolers

Ursula Fischer^{1,2}, Stephanie Roesch³, Julia Bahnmueller⁴, Roberta Barrocas⁵, Nadine Bollmann², Korbinian Moeller⁴

¹ University of Teacher Education in Special Needs, Zurich, Switzerland, ² University of Konstanz, Germany, ³ University of Tuebingen, Germany, ⁴ Loughborough University, ⁵ Leibniz-Institut fuer Wissensmedien, Tuebingen, Germany

Theoretical Background

- Finger gnosia, fine motor skills, and spatial working memory (WM) have been identified as domain-general
 precursor skills to children's early numerical and mathematical competencies^{1,2,3}
- WM in particular has been consistently associated with mathematical skills, specifically so in children with mathematical difficulties⁴
- Finger gnosia and fine motor skills, in turn, are reportedly more strongly related to basic numerical skills and have been argued to impact early finger counting (counting on one's fingers) and finger montring skills (displaying
- **Hypothesis 3:** Spatial WM moderates these associations, which should be stronger for children with low WM capacity

Participants

n = 271 children (127 girls)
Age: *M* = 5.0 years, *SD* = 9.78,
range: 40 - 81 months
Handedness: 246 right, 17 left,
1 alternating

Tasks

Finger gnosia

- Non-motoric task: Is the same finger touched on the child's hand and the drawing?
- 7 items, 0-7 points



Fine motor skill: Finger agility

Lift the same finger as the experimenter and tap it on the table while not moving other fingers

Datasets from two studies

25 participants excluded

Data collection 2018-2020

(*n* = 141 and *n* = 155)

Mostly identical tasks

due to missing data

in Southern Germany

16 items, 0-16 points

Fine motor skill: Object manipulation



- numerical magnitudes with one's hands)⁵
- However, it is unclear how children's spatial WM capacity impacts the effect that finger gnosia and fine motor skills
 might have on their ability to count or represent magnitudes with their fingers

Results Hypotheses 1+2: Associations

Table 2. Partial Spearman correlation coefficients controlling for age.

		1	2	3	4	5
1	Finger counting					
2	Finger montring	.569**				
3	Finger gnosia	.101	.116			
4	Finger agility	.222**	.148*	.069		
5	Object manipulation	.142*	.165**	.030	.174**	
6	Spatial WM	.207**	.175**	.166**	.085	.187
**	= <i>p</i> < .01. * = <i>p</i> < .05					

Tabe 3. Multiple linear regression models predicting finger counting and finger montring.

	Finger counting			Finger	Finger montring		
	В	SE B	β	В	SE B	β	
Intercept	.044	.053		.046	.054		
Finger gnosia	.067	.056	.072	.082	.057	.086	
Finger agility	.203	.058	.214**	.136	.059	.138*	
Object manipulation	.121	.061	.121*	.166	.063	.158**	
Spatial WM	.176	.066	.191**	.194	.067	.206**	
Age	.123	.066	.132	.160	.067	.168*	
R^2	.226**			.239**			

Results Hypothesis 3: Moderating Effects of Spatial Working Memory

Spatial WM moderating the association between finger agility and finger counting

Effects on finger counting	β	SE β	-
Finger agility	.207**	.056	Table 4. Results of
Spatial WM	.216**	.063	moderation analysis
Finger agility x Spatial WM	177**	.059	
Age	.156*	.065	

Spatial WM moderating the association between finger agility and finger montring

Effects on finger montring	В	SE β
Finger agility	.149*	.058
Spatial WM	.249**	.065
Finger agility x Spatial WM	153*	.060
Age	.187**	.067

- Thread 6-12 beads as fast as possible (MABC-2⁶)
- 2 trials, faster one is scored in sec.





Spatial working memory

Corsi Block Tapping Task, Backward: Copying a sequence of tapped blocks in reverse order

- Two trials per span
- Longest correctly replicated span \rightarrow WM span



- Count to X on your fingers (e.g., «three»)
- 6 items (numbers 2-7), 0-6 points



"one" "two" "t



Finger montring

- Show me X fingers (e.g., «three»)
- 6 items (numbers 2-7), 0-6 points

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive Statistics		Table 1. Descriptive Statistics					
	N	min	max	М	SD	Skew	Kurtosis
Age in months	271	40	81	59.53	9.78	.404	861
Finger gnosia (sum of solved items)	271	0	7	4.74	1.31	252	.063
Finger agility (sum of solved items)	270	0	16	10.46	3.85	561	152
Object manipulation (time in seconds)	271	19	205	47.22	21.51	2.78	13.49
Spatial WM (backward span)	247	0	6	2.63	1.57	.161	235
Finger counting (sum of solved items)	269	0	6	5.19	1.51	-2.04	3.26
Finger montring (sum of solved items)	267	0	6	4.97	1.51	-1.509	1.491



0.4 High WM 0.3 $\beta = -.005$ ▲ Mean WN 0.2 Low WM 0.1 -0.1 -0.2 β = .301** -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 -0 Low Finger agility Mean Finger agility High Finger agility

Spatial WM moderating the association between object manipulation and finger counting

Effects on finger counting	β	SE β	-
Object manipulation	.079	.067	Table 6. Results of
Spatial WM	.239**	.068	moderation analysis
Object manipulation x Spatial WM	/ 194 **	.063	
Age	.206**	.065	

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

0



Effects on finger montring	β	SE β	
Object manipulation	.105	.069	Table 7. Results of
Spatial WM	.257**	.068	moderation analysis
Object manipulation x Spatial WM	185**	.063	
Age	.230**	.066	



Summary and Discussion

Analytical Approach

- Z-transformation of all data
- Partial Spearman correlations controlling for age
- Multiple linear regression models
- Moderation analyses with simple slopes for WM (Using the SPSS PROCESS macro 4.0⁷).



Fig. 1. Working model of the study

- Children's fine motor skills and spatial WM capacity, but not finger gnosia, were significantly associated with their finger counting/montring skills
- Spatial WM capacity moderates the association between fine motor skills and finger counting / montring skills, which is strongest for children with low WM capacity and not significant for children with high WM capacity
- Children with low spatial WM capacity might rely more on their fine motor skills to count / display magnitudes with their fingers compared to children with high working memory capacity, who might not need to
- Future research and intervention on finger counting skills should consider both spatial WM and fine motor skills

Literature

Penner-Wilger, M., Fast, L., LaFevre, J. A., Smith-Chant, B. L., Skwarchuck, S. L., Kamawar, D., & Bisanz, J. (2007). The foundations of numeracy: Subitizing, finger gnosia, and fine motor ability. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society* (Vol. 29, No. 29).
 Passolunghi, M. C., & Lanfranchi, S. (2012). Domain-specific and domain-general precursors of mathematical achievement: A longitudinal study from kindergarten to first grade. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 82*(1), 42-63.
 Asakawa, A., & Sugimura, S. (2022). Mediating process between fine motor skills, finger gnosis, and calculation abilities in preschool children. *Acta Psychologica, 231*, 103771.
 Peng, P., Namkung, J., Barnes, M., & Sun, C. (2016). A meta-analysis of mathematics and working memory: Moderating effects of working memory domain, type of mathematics skill, and sample characteristics. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 108*(4), 455–473. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000079
 Fischer, U., Suggate, S. P., & Stoeger, H. (2022). Fine motor skills and finger gnosia contribute to preschool children's numerical competencies. *Acta Psychologica, 226*, 103576.
 Petermann, F. (2015). *M-ABC-2. Movement Assessment Battery for Children – Second Edition. German Adaptation.* Pearson Assessment.
 7 Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis. A Regression-Based Approach (Second Edition).* The Guilford Press.

Fig. 4. Simple

between object

manipulation and

slopes of the

interaction

spatial WM

Contact: <u>ursula.fischer@hfh.ch</u> University of Teacher Education in Special Needs, Zurich (HfH), www.hfh.ch MCLS conference 2023, Loughborough University

High Object

manipulation